

APPENDIX 2

Summary of consultation responses

Resident - Lower Rd	Supports acceptable uses, particularly community food growing
Resident - Ann Moss Way	Does not want public access. Use must not compromise security – this must be no. 1 on the list, very seriously concerned. No 2 must be to keep the beautiful trees on the Ann Moss Way side. Suitable use would be plant nursery. Parks Dept should take care of it, only park staff having access. It is lovely and peaceful and should be left as it is.
Resident - Gomm Rd	Very concerned with vulnerability of adjacent properties. The criteria outlined concerns many neighbours, would prefer it to be used as a plant nursery for parks. Feels other uses proposed could affect security. As for use as allotments, community orchard and food growing horticultural training there is a plot has that two pad locked fences in the park, (wildlife area) - for who?
Cllr Rajan	Very interested in the consultation - view is should be used for community growing or allotments.
Canada Water Consultative Forum	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • it should be consistently referred to as the Former Nursery. • believe it is important to continue to involve the community in the process, particularly reviewing the applicants. The adjacent neighbours expressed concerns about their security should the use of the land change. It is imperative these people engage with the process, together with local community reps & Friends of SP be involved in the final decision making process. • agree acceptable uses suggested. However, concerns if leased as a pure 'Commercial Venture' - conflict with other park activities/cause problems with community access and involvement, accountability etc. • Activities be community based viable enterprise(s) and fully accessible, challenging, engaging and designed to appeal to all - emphasis on education, training, creativity and leisure. • Important the public has regular access, with activities at the same times as park. • Would encourage forward looking & innovative designs - emphasis on ecological & sustainable materials of the highest standards being used.
BARGE's	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Delighted to see site reclaimed, looking forward to an integrated, community based initiative. • Would like name clarified, support 'former Nursery'. • Would have liked more time to consult community to find out what future uses they'd have liked. • Helpful if a map illustrating the sites relationship to surrounding houses and to the park been included in the consultation material. • Important to regain trust and support of all neighbours, these together with local community reps & Friends of SP should be involved in the final decision making process. • Former Nursery was originally an integral part of SP. Would like confirmation same designations as apply to park cover the former nursery site, ensuring its future protection. • Agree activities should be community based & fully accessible - designed to be challenging, engaging and appeal to all ages/abilities, emphasis on education, training, creativity & fun. • We would like to see consideration given to people with learning difficulties and behavioural problems. • Notwithstanding the sensitivity due to the closeness of the neighbours, important public has access at the same times as park.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerns if let for 'Commercial Use' - see problems associated with community access and involvement, accountability etc., • Concerns about becoming simply an allotment site due to the limited access afforded to limited numbers, prefer an initiative with broader access across the community. • We would encourage forward looking/innovative designs, emphasis on ecological & sustainable materials of the highest standards being used.
FoRDW	Fully endorse the submission of BARGES
Friends of Southwark Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use must be appropriate to Grade II Listed facility & Green Flag park. Want a high quality outcome which respects history of the park, in line with extensive improvements successfully achieved over the last decade. • public use and access must be paramount—concerns were raised about criteria statement that it is acceptable for public access to be provided “on occasion”. • essential criterion of the Council incurring absolutely no cost should be reviewed. It is the responsibility of the Council, without some financial support good community applicants might not get far. Urge possible availability of s106 and other capital monies be fully investigated. • concerns about commercial use - would seem potentially counter to aim of bringing back into use for public access - needs to be most carefully considered. • amongst the acceptable uses - would like to see added environmental awareness and education for young people, and perhaps creative thinking that links site to nearby wildlife area & cafe. With several primary schools on the boundary, might it be possible to come up with a scheme which is attractive to the National Lottery? • Adjacent residents must be fully consulted and The Friends of SP would like to be involved in the selection process. • Prefer site to be referred to as the old nursery